

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

10/037,005   12/21/2001   William R. Matz   01442   5691     38510	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
ATRE Legal Department - SZ  Attn: Patent Docketing  Rom 2A-207  One ATRET Way  Bedminster, NJ 07921  EASKILLER  EASKILLER  VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P  ART UNIT PAPER NUM.  2424	10/037,005	12/21/2001	William R. Matz	01442	5691
Attn: Patent Docketing         VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P           Room 2A-207         ART UNIT         PAPER NUM           One AT&ET Way         ART UNIT         PAPER NUM           Bedminster, NJ 07921         2424	AT&T Legal Department - SZ Attn: Patent Docketing			EXAMINER	
One AT&T Way Bedminster, NJ 07921  2424				VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P	
Bedminster, NJ 07921 2424		av		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DATE DELIVERY M				2424	
MAIL DATE DELIVERY M					
09/02/2009 PAPER					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/037.005 MATZ ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL VAN HANDEL 2424 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Michael Van Handel. (2) Scott Zimmerman. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 19 August 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: NA. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and examiner discussed outstanding Non-Responsive Action. The examiner provided Applicant with ideas for resolving the outstanding issues. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christopher Kelley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424